

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/828,343	04/06/2001	Andrew Joseph Dillon	029641R	1716	
7	2590 02/26/2002				
Bracewell & Patterson LLP			EXAMINER		
Suite 350 Lakewood on the Park 7600B North Capital of Texas Hwy Austin, TX 78731			WINAKUR, E	WINAKUR, ERIC FRANK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3736		
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2002	DATE MAILED: 02/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ď			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/828,343	DILLON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric F Winakur	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	munication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provi- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the period for reply specified above is less than thin. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for	sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recommunication. rly (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty manual statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' reply will, by statute, cause the application to become AB of this after the mailing date of this communication, even if the safter the mailing date of this communication, even if the safter the mailing date of this communication, even if the safter the mailing date of this communication, even if the safter the mailing date of this communication.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	ition for allowance except for formal mat bractice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,10-14,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6, 9, 15, 18</u> is/are objec	ted to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 April 2</u>	001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any	y objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction	filed on is: a) _ approved b) _ d	isapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings ar	e required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objecte	ed to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a c	aim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ Ali b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None	of:				
1.☐ Certified copies of the price	prity documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the price	ority documents have been received in A	pplication No			
application from the In	oies of the priority documents have been ternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). action for a list of the certified copies not				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	n language provisional application has be tim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144)	ew (PTO-948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/828,343

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant is notified that the amendment filed April 6, 2001 presents amendments that do not comply with 37 CFR 1.173(b), which sets forth the manner of making amendments in reissue applications. The claims have been examined taking the amendments into account. The claim amendments of the preliminary amendment must be refiled and any subsequent amendment to the specification and/or claims must comply with 37 CFR 1.173(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Diab et al. Diab et al. teach an optical probe (Figures 29A, 29B) that includes a rigid, opaque saddle (cradle member) formed from black plastic (Figures 16, 18, 20; column 15 - 16) that includes a photodetector within a chamber. The optical probe further

Application/Control Number: 09/828,343

Art Unit: 3736

includes a flexible, adhesive element (column 23, line 50 - column 24, line 34) that contains an emitter. In use, the emitter is aligned opposite the detector and flanges from the probe adhesively attach to a subject's finger without stressing the fingertip.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diab et al. as applied to claims 1, 2, 5, 7, and 8 above. Diab et al. teach that their cradle is formed from a rigid, opaque material, such as black plastic but do not specifically teach that this is a molded polyolefin plastic, or polypropylene in particular. However, these are merely well known plastic materials. It would have been within the skill level of the art at the time of the invention to implement the device of Diab et al. with a cradle constructed from polypropylene, since Diab et al. teach that the cradle is constructed from black plastic and it has generally been held to be within the skill level of the art to identify and use well known materials that meet the requirements of a teaching.
- 5. Claims 10 14, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diab et al. in view of Swedlow et al. Diab et al. teach an optical probe, as described in paragraph 2 above, but do not teach that the emitter can be located in the cradle and the detector located in the flexible web member. Swedlow et

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/828,343

Art Unit: 3736

الم الماري

al. teach a similar probe that has an emitter in a flexible web member and a photodetector in a pad or may have the elements in a reversed arrangement (column 3, lines 34 - 37; column 5, lines 10 - 13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Diab et al. to have the emitter in the cradle and the photodetector in the flexible member, since Swedlow et al. teach that this is merely an equivalent arrangement for elements in an optical probe to that provided in Diab et al. With regard to claims 12 and 13, Diab et al. teach that the cradle is constructed from black plastic, but do not teach the specific materials that this is formed from. As discussed in paragraph 4 above, it would have been within the skill level of the art to determine which well known materials would fulfill the requirements of the teaching and implement the cradle with such well known materials as molded polyolefin plastic or polypropylene, as set forth in the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not teach or suggest a probe having an electrical conductor channel formed within the concave surface of the cradle member or having a double-sided adhesive layer applied to the concave surface of the cradle member.
- 7. Claims 6, 9, 15, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reissue Applications

Art Unit: 3736

The original patent, or a statement as to loss or inaccessibility of the original 8. patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178. It is noted that Applicant states that they have returned the original patent to the Patent Office on April 14, 1999 in the parent application. Upon inspection of the parent application file, Applicant's transmittal cover sheet (a copy of which was included in the instant application) was found but the original patent was not found. Applicant is

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric F Winakur whose telephone number is 703/308-

requested to supply the original patent if it had been returned to him subsequent to the

3940. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5; alternate Fri.

April 14, 1999 filing or provide a statement that it is lost or inaccessible.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 703/308-2582. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/308-0758 for regular communications and 703/308-0758 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/308-0858.

> Eric F Winakur Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736